

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United State Paten and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bay 1450 Alfxandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uppto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/099,779	03/14/2002	Todd Weston Arnold	AUS920010984US1	4841
40412	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
	ORATION- AUSTIN	WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L		
C/O VAN LE PO BOX 906	EUWEN & VAN LEEU 09	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78709-0609			2137	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/07/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/099,779	ARNOLD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffery Williams	2137		

	Jeffery Williams	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 22 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notic ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee	e of Appeal. To avoid aba t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f).	nailing date of the final reject I THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding ame shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The approprioring originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a h	rief will not be entered b	acause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see w); ter form for appeal by material	NOTE below); by reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	24. Can awashad Nation of Na		(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		1-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the af	fidavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presente	ppeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu .	t does NOT place the applicati	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	per No(s)	
	SUP	EMMANUEL E. MOISE ERVISORY PATENT EXAMI	NER

Applicant's amendment to claim 13 changes the scope to the presented claims and raises issues that have not been previously considered.

In response to the applicant's arguments that the prior art does not teach the claim limitations of the independent claims, the examiner respectfully directs the attention of the applicants to the stated reasons for rejection of record (see rejection of independent claims and the Office's response to applicant's argument found within the final office action).